APPENDIX D

TECHNICAL AND CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING INFORMATION

D - 1

ADVISORY COMMITTEE INVITATION LETTER

AND MAILING LIST

August 4, 1998

Dear:

The 1996 amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act created a new requirement for all states to conduct delineation and assessment of all public water system drinking water intakes in the state. This requirement applies both to surface water intakes and wells regulated by North Carolina-s Public Water Supply Section. This activity will include delineating and defining the area of concern or vulnerability for the intake, identifying potential contaminants in that delineated area, assessing the risk to the intake from the contamination, and making the information available to the public. The Department of Environment and Natural Resources has convened a working group of environmental divisions to draft the North Carolina Source Water Assessment Plan (SWAP). As a part of the law, Congress has placed a strong emphasis on public awareness and involvement, including the convening of citizens and technical advisory committees. The citizens and technical advisory committees are to advise the states as they draft their source water assessment plans for submittal to the Environmental Protection Agency. These advisory committees are expected to provide their advice and input as each state is considering the elements for inclusion in the plan.

The Department is in the process of naming the advisory committees and is searching for nominees who would be willing to assist. You have been identified as an individual or as representing an organization believed to have an interest in how this plan is developed in North Carolina, and as such are invited to participate in the planning process. We plan to hold three joint meetings of the citizens and technical advisory committees. These meetings are scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on September 3, October 2, and November 5 and are expected to last all day. Between these meetings, the citizens and technical advisory committees are expected to meet individually on specific issues for recommendation to the full group. Meetings will be held in Raleigh.

Page 2 August 4, 1998

Source water assessments will allow North Carolina to systematically address issues of potential contamination of public water supplies in a comprehensive way, such as has not been done before. We are excited about the opportunity to use this advisory committee process in the development of such a worthwhile plan. Please use the attached form to indicate your level of interest and ability to assist with the SWAP development. The form should be faxed or mailed to Elizabeth Morey by August 17, 1998 as indicated on the form. If you have any questions, or know of another individual or organization that should participate in the planning process, please contact Elizabeth Morey at 919-715-0674 or at E-mail address Elizabeth_Morey@mail.enr.state.nc.us.

Sincerely,

Jessua G. Miles

Jessica G. Miles, P.E., Chief Public Water Supply Section Division of Environmental Health

JGM:spm

cc: Bill Holman Linda Sewall Bob Midgette Elizabeth Morey

North Carolina Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) Advisory Committee Meetings

Please indicate your level of interest in the SWAP process by checking the appropriate box(es) below:

- ' I am interested in serving on a citizen or technical advisory committee.
- ' If requested, I will attend the first advisory committee meeting on September 3, 1998.
- ' I am not interested in serving on an advisory committee, but would like to remain on the mailing list to receive information pertaining to the SWAP process.
- ' Please remove my name from the mailing list.

Please provide the fo	ollowing information:		
Name:		 	
Organization Affilia	tion/Representation:	 	
Address:		 	
Phone Number:		 	
Fax Number:			
E-Mail Address:			

Please submit this form by August 17, 1998.

MAILING LIST FOR SWAP PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Director, Academy of Family Physicians Carl Bailey, DENR

Director, Community Preservation Group of Bouton Balbridge, Cape Fear River Watch, Inc.

NC

Jerad Bales, US Geological Survey

Director, Conservation Fund

Christie Barbee, Carolina Asphalt Pavement

Director, Kidney Foundation Association Inc.

Director, Medical Society of NC

Mike Baron, Water Conservation

Director, Nature Conservancy-NC Chapter Office/UtilitiesDept.

Director, NC Petroleum Association Inc. Tom Bean, NC Wildlife Federation

Director, NC Sierra Club Layton Bedsole Jr., NC State Ports Authority

Director, NC Fair Share Program Doug Bensinger, B&G Environmental

Director, NC Poultry Federation Harold Berry, NC Petroleum Marketers

Association

Bill Black, US Army Corp of Engineers

Director, NC League of Conservation Voters

Sandra Birkhead, Glaxo Welcome Director, NC Cattleman=s Association

Director, NC Association of Launderers and

Cleaners

James Blackburn, NC Assoc. of Co. Commissioners

Stan Adams, NC Div. of Forestry Resources

Ann Borden, S&ME, Inc.

Robert Aery, NC Association of Convenience

Eric Aufderhaar, Groundwater Professionals of

Stores Dewey Botts, Division of Soil & Water

Conservation

NC Kevin Boyer, GEI Consultants, Inc.

Amy Axon, Division of Water Quality Sammy Boyette, NC Rural Water Association

John Bratton, NC Sedimentation Control Ronald Aycock, NC Assoc. of County

Commissioners Commission

Sherol Bremen, NC Petroleum Council	D. D. G. J. J. G. J. GDOJ				
Greg Bright, Wake Co. Div. of Envir. Health	Buzz Bryson, Environmental Services CP&L				
Jeffrey Brown, NC Center for Geo Information & Analysis (CGIA)	Dollie Burwell c/o Eva Claytons Office Jennifer Calcagni, Research Triangle Institute				
Margaret Brown, Protect Our Water Robert Caldwell, NC State Grange					
Reid Campbell, NC Utilities Commission-	Karen Cragnolin, RiverLink, Inc.				
Public Staff	Patrick Davis, Triangle J Council of Government				
	Marion Deerhake				
Chris Carter, Haw River Assembly	Paul Dew, NC Agribusiness Council, Inc.				
Charlie Carter, Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice	Mollie Diggins, NC Sierra Club				
Charles Case, NC Citizens for Business & Industry	Marion Dodd, League of Women Voters of NC				
Jeff Cherry, Hunton & Williams	Rick Durham, Carolinas Chapter- National Association of Water Co. Bill Eaker, Land of Sky Regional Council				
Walter Cherry, North Carolina Pork Council					
Callie Childress, US Geological Survey	Jo-Leslie Eimers, US Geological Survey				
Anne Coan, NC Farm Bureau Federation	Dave Evans, Dept. of Marine, Earth & Atmospheric Sciences				
Ronald Coble, P.G.	George Everett, MCIC				
Jerry Coker, Weyerhaeuser Co.	Lynne Faltraco, Concerned Citizens of Rutherford County (CCRC)				
Mike Collins, CEM Corporation	Linda Faulkner-Vaughn, McKim & Creed				
Mimi Cooper, Health Director	Linda Fadikner-Vaugnii, McKiiii & Creed				
Randolph County Health Department	Cindy Finan, NC American Waterworks Association				
Greg Cope (Dr.), Dept. of Toxicology					
Andy Counts, American Furniture Mfg-s Association	Mike Floyd, NC Groundwater Association Robert Forbes, Jr., CH2M Hill				

Marianne Frederick, Dept. Of Commerce Community Assistance	Commanding General Gary H. Davis, AC/S EMD Camp Lejeune, North Carolina			
Nan Freeland, Clean Water Fund	Laurie Gengo, Poyner & Spruill			
Greg Garner, AIDS Service Organization of Wake Co.	Thomas Glenn, City of Durham/Water Resources			
Mark Garner, Jr., Rivers & Associates, Incorporated	Danny Gogal, Office of Environmental Justice			
Lewis Gaskin, NC Christmas Tree Growers	Paul Goodson, Professional Engineers of NC			
Lawrence Gayle, Triangle TRIO	Jeri Gray, Water Resources Research Institute of UNC			
Terry Green, NC Waterworks Operators Association	Ralph Heath			
Angie Grooms, Duke Power	Milton Heath, Institute of Government			
Robert Gruber, NC Utilities Commission-Public Staff	Jerry Henderson, DuPont			
Leslie Hall, Jr., McKim & Creed	Ed Holland, Orange Water & Sewer Authority Preston Howard, Director Div. of Water			
Billy-Ray Hall, NC Rural Economic Dev. Center	Quality			
Roger Hansard, USDA NRCS	Michael Iagnocco, NC Assoc of Env Professionals			
Jerry Hardesty, North Carolina Pork Council	Greg Jennings, NCSU Bio/Agr Engineering			
Maryann Harrison, Neuse River Foundation	Ann Johnson, Governor-s Advisory Council on Aging			
Jim Harrison, Pigeon River Action Group				
Joe Harwood, NC Citizens for Business and Industry	Peg Jones, NC Watershed Coalition, Inc. Max Justice, Parker, Poe, Adams, & Bernstein			
Richard Hatch, NC Coalition on Aging	Bernard Kane, Jr., Pamlico-Tar River Foundation			
Mark Hawes, Shurtape Technologies Inc.	roundation			

Cindy Kirby, Community Water System Association	Michael Allen, MacConnell & Associates, P.C.		
Stella Kirkendale, AIDS Service Organization of	Jane Sharp-MacRae, Conservation Council of NC		
Wake Co. Jean Crews-Kleine, NC Rural Economic Dev.	Joe McClees, Septic Tank Association		
Center	Rich McLaughlin (Dr.), Dept. of Soil Science		
Mary Kollstedt, State Conservationist USDA-NRCS	Jesse Meredith, M.D., Commission for Health Services		
Ron Lambe, Western NC Alliance	David Meredith, North Carolina State Grange		
Douglas Lassiter, NC Septic Tank Association	Time Minton, NC Association of Realtors		
John Leonard, ENSR Cons. & Engineering	Steve Mitchell, TRIO Eastern NC		
Gale Lewis, Alliance for Responsible Swine	Donna Moffit, NC Div. of Coastal Management		
Industry	Kasey Monro, Kemp Construction, Inc.		
Ginney Linsey, Clean Water Fund of NC	David Moreau, Env. Management Commission Dept. of City & Regional Planning		
Barbara Lisle, US Geological Survey			
and the state of the state of			
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources	Ted Outwater, Clean Water Fund of North Carolina		
	Carolina		
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources			
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources Arthur Mouberry, DENR Calvin Murphy, Utilities Director	Carolina Dean Chastain, RCRA Manager		
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources Arthur Mouberry, DENR Calvin Murphy, Utilities Director Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	Carolina Dean Chastain, RCRA Manager Seymour Johnson AFB Lynne Palmer, RCRA Manager		
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources Arthur Mouberry, DENR Calvin Murphy, Utilities Director Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Rusty Norris, ENSR Cons. & Engineering	Carolina Dean Chastain, RCRA Manager Seymour Johnson AFB Lynne Palmer, RCRA Manager Seymour Johnson AFB		
John Morris, NC Div of Water Resources Arthur Mouberry, DENR Calvin Murphy, Utilities Director Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Rusty Norris, ENSR Cons. & Engineering	Carolina Dean Chastain, RCRA Manager Seymour Johnson AFB Lynne Palmer, RCRA Manager Seymour Johnson AFB Linwood Peele, NC Div of Water Resources		

Transylvania County Health Department Jerry Ryan, US Geological Survey Jacquelyn Pikul, Clean Water Fund of NC Gary Sanderson, Clairiant Corporation Doug Piner, Environmental Management Department Scott Sauer, County of Scotland PSC Camp Lejeune, North Carolina Allen Scarborough, Environmental Affairs Janet Preyer, NC Environmental Defense Fund Rhone-Poulenc Ag. Company Renee Price, Conservation Council of NC Bob Schley, NC Rural Water Association Karen Priest Edward Scott, III, Environmental Concerns Comm. Ellen Pulaski, CP&L **NCCBI** Stan Taylor, Triangle Env. Inc. Ed Scott, NCCBI John Ray Bigmeat, Eastern Band of Cherokee **Indians** Ann Seaton, Little Tennessse Watershed Association Ken Reckhow, WRRI James E Caldwell, Mid-CarolinaCouncil of Ed Regan, NC Association of County Governments Commissioners Carl Shy, UNC-CH School of Public Health Jeanne Robbins, US Geological Survey Dept. Of Entomology Water Resources Division Howard Singletary, NC Crop Protection Dave Rock, American Academy of Pediatrics Association Terry Rolan, NC American Waterworks Katherine Skinner Nature Conservancy-NC Field Office Association City of Durham Env. Resources Dept. Bob Slocum, NC Forestry Association John Runkle, Conservation Council of NC John Smith, Pesticides Food & Drug/Agriculture W.A. Soders, International Paper Riegelwood Mill Gavin Smith (Dr.), NC Div. of Emergency Management James Spangler, NC Association of Env. **Professionals** Mark Sobsby (Dr.), UNC-CH School of Public

Health

Andrea Spangler, Piedmont Triad Regional Willem van Eck, Ph.D., Water Authority NC Academic Associates. Inc. Jean Spooner, NCSU Water Quality Group James Vardy, US Coast Guard Jim Stamm, Trip Van Noppen Henry Wade Pesticides Section Southern Env. Law Center NC Department of Agriculture **AARP** Chuck Walkild, CP&L Jill Strickler, Heater Utilities Eddie Stroup, NC Association of Soil & Water Jim Warren, NC Warn **Conservation Districts** Southeast Waste Exchange **Urban Institute** Roger Swann, NC Rural Water Association Melinda Taylor, NC Environmental Defense Bill Weatherspoon, NC Petroleum Council Fund Hugh Wells, NC Utilities Commission Michael Teague (Dr.), Clairiant Corporation Richard Wells, Trigon Engineering Consultants Silvia Terziotti, US Geological Survey Richard Whisnant. Institute of Government Wayne Thomann, (Dr.) Occupational & Env. William White, Moore & Van Allen, FLLC Safety Paula Thomas, Manager of Env. Policy Steve Whiteside, Joint PENC/CENC Env. Com. NC League of Municipalities GEI Consultants, Inc. Thompson, Lumber Polly Williams, Older Women-s League Jerry River Basin Committee Paul Wilms, NC Home Builders Association Kaye Thompson, NC Association of **Convenience Stores** Allen Wilson, Trout Unlimited-NC Council Don Womble, Association of Local Health Eric Tolbert, Div. of Emergency Management **Directors** Lou Turner, Laboratory Services **Hoke County Health Department**

Janet Zeller, Blue Ridge Env. Defense League

Les Twidle, NC Rural Economic Dev. Center

D -2

TECHNICAL AND CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE WRITTEN COMMENTS

North Carolina Source Water Assessment TAC Advisory Committee

Public Participation Homework Assignment

Summary of the responses received from participants in the SWAP Ahomework assignment@which consisted of three questions relating to public participation. The participants were asked to respond to the following questions based on the outline of the SWAP development process explained in the first TAC Advisory Committee meeting held on September 3, 1998 by the second committee meeting date.

1. Do you feel the state will provide adequate opportunity for participation by stakeholder groups representing a broad range of interests?

- The state must do everything possible to include all citizens/stakeholders as safe clean water is vital to all. Inclusion is the key. I have not been impressed with certain agencies attempts in the past. Bureaucrats/technocrats approach matters completely differently. Clean water is just not science. It involves a human factor. There are many organizations who will willingly become involved (NC Watershed Coalition, et al.). Don=t hesitate to approach them. Public education of the subject must be included in the process (press, TV, radio) reaching all stakeholders.
- I do feel that a very broad range of interests are represented but participation may be limited to previously identified issues with little opportunity to address new concerns.
- Yes and no. Many (if not all) relevant groups are on the committee. However, I worry that our role is limited to endorsing decisions already made and methods already selected.
- Yes, between the committee and the public participation, it should. It would be good to involve local governments in the process as well.
- Yes, the state has given much notification with respect to the advisory committees and mailings.
- Yes, if stakeholders are assertive and involved enough. Environmental justice groups need special attention and assistance. Stakeholders who want better enforcement of present regulations also need to be heard and heeded.

- From what I saw in the meeting, there is a broad range of interests and quite a spectrum of society represented, and it appeared to me that you were not only providing an opportunity, but encouraging it. I was somewhat disappointed in some folks that were absent, but were on the original attendee list.
 - 2. Do you feel that the public participation process will provide for both technical (i.e., technical feasibility and effectiveness of the SWAP approach) and citizens (i.e., desirability and appropriateness of the SWAP approach) considerations?
- Solicitation for help by technical and citizen groups must be made to feel they are truly a part of the process. Their comments must not only be taken down but acknowledged as important. In the past, this has not been the case, leading to distrust and poor rapport. The state, in asking for advice and comments, should be willing to accept them.
- I think its very valuable to have citizens and technical groups combined and provides opportunity for us to educate each other on our concerns.
- Yes, if the role of the committee is not limited to endorsing decisions already made and methods already selected.
- Yes, as described, it seems to involve both the technical staff and citizens.
- Yes, however time is wasted when you spend an extended period of time explaining technical terms to those non-technical persons. This may turn people away.
- I agree (as a stakeholder) that study, research and planning must underlay effective action and results. But citizens need visible action and enforcement of fines and shut downs of recalcitrant developers and industries who refuse to cooperate. Our actions must support our words. DEM must be educated for our long-term benefits of enforcement and must stop undercutting our monitors and chemists reports (and fines).
- I feel like (from what I experienced in the afternoon session) that as a group we need to realize what we are charged to do. My opinion was that we wanted to create a database that would be of assistance to Public Water Supply Systems by delineating boundaries of assessment areas (group could not seem to agree on the methodology), identify potential contaminants and determine susceptibility. The group I attended continued to comment on what would be done to people that

had well heads or water supplies that we determined were at risk? (That regulatory mentality I spoke of). I guess a brief summary to your question is, it will take more meetings than are planned at the rate we moved yesterday.

- 3. Should the state develop an ongoing public participation process during the implementation of the SWAP after receiving program approval from EPA? If so, describe the preferred format of the public involvement.
- Inclusion is the key. Efforts to promote watershed conservation should be made, bringing together all stakeholders, not simply industry and municipalities.
- Yes, the SWAP should be an ongoing project with same continuing public education element.
- Yes, this public participation should involve discussing problems with SWAP implementation and future directions of SWAP. I like the technical and citizen format as this broadens everyone=s perspectives.
- This would depend on the recommendations with the SWAP. If some of the elements require actions by the public, then they should be involved in the implementation. Perhaps some regional meetings involving local officials and some TV or radio coverage would be helpful.
- No, there are too many people who could cause delays in process. By allowing public participation after EPA approval, you=I have more than enough to deal with and the same questions will continue to be asked.
- Yes, but how about before EPA approval and after/or during (state approval process?) Short-term profits must be balanced by long term health costs and profits well beyond 2003 or 2005 when balancing cost/benefit equations. Local maps of potential pollution sources and suspected present pollution (plus ground water sources at risk) need newspaper and newsletter publicity. Radio & TV call in shows (with graphics for TV). Examples of theoretical delineation methods with graphics are helpful to stakeholders. ACalculated fixed radius@and Asimplified variable shape@protection areas need pictures!
- Yes, I think it is in the best interest of the program if you have at least one representative from the different interests involved in ongoing participation of implementation.

State-s Response to the public participation Ahomework assignment@ questions:

The state invited over 140 stakeholders to participate in the SWAP development process. There was representation from a broad group of perspectives. Input from

participants was considered and incorporated appropriately into the SWAP plan. If their suggestions were not included explanation and justification was provided.

There will be the opportunity for continued input from TAC committee members as the SWAP plan implementation moves forward. Specifically, certain TAC committee members will be asked to provide review and comments on the results of the pilot study source water assessments to ensure that the reporting of the results is both understandable and useful to PWS system owners and customers.

Effectiveness of TAC Advisory Committee Involvement in SWAP Plan Development-- Survey Summary

During the November 5, 1998 TAC Advisory Committee meeting a survey form was distributed. The following is a summary of the questions and responses and comments received from 19 committee members who completed and returned the form.

How many of the joint Technical and Citizens Advisory		0-1 (3)	2 (5)	3 (11)	
Committee meetings did you attend?					
	Strongly			Strongly	
On a scale of 1-4, 4 bein	g strongest agreement,	Disagree	/ Di	sagree	/ Agree
<u>/A</u>	<u>gree</u>				
please mark the	following: *				
(*shown is the number of responses to the question out of 19 surveys completed)					
High quality of basic education and information was provided.			0	0	109
The state was prepared for the committee meetings.			0	0	5 14
Sufficient opportunity was provided to give input.		0	0	8 11	
The committee discussions were productive.		0	1	7 11	
	-				
Input from committee affected the outcome of the plan.		0	1	6 12	
1					
There was adequate time allotted overall for the committee process.1		1	7	10	
-	-				
I support the draft plan as discussed today.		0	1	117	
•	Ç				
I am satisfied with the overall advisory committee process.		0	1	9 9	
	J				
(**overall averages for each question)					
· ·	3.57				
•	3.42				
	3.31				
Q4. 3.52 Q8.	3.42				

Please provide any specific feedback you have on any aspect of the SWAP plan development process.

(Comments received)

- TAC advisory committee process has been remarkably effective and collaborative between committee members and DENR staff. Very efficient use of everyones time and energy-especially under the tight schedule of the SWAP development process. Committee comments have been of consistently high and thoughtful quality and have been appropriately incorporated by DENR staff into the SWAP document. Hard work and participation of staff from many different DENR units has been especially evident. I look forward to an exemplary SWAP product for NC.
- Would be willing to review some drafts and provide comments relative to clarity of language for general population. Agree with xxx=s comment about AWWA and Rural water consider sending their Board chair copy of draft and notice that they will receive copy of pilot study. Might ask them to include public meeting notice in their newsletters. Thanks for your efforts to make this an open & productive process.
- I feel that this could help on other rules & regulations that are coming in the future.
- The SWAP plan will almost certainly undergo revisions as it=s implemented. This committee should be kept informed and given the opportunity to comment on these revisions.
- PWS section has done an outstanding job soliciting input from this committee.
- I understand the compressed time frame, but all day meetings are impossible. I want to compliment you all on a beautiful-looking apparently well-conceived plan and a nicely organized and facilitated process. Good luck on actually making it happen. If I or xxxx can be of assistance as you go forward, please let me know.
- Information needs to continue to flow to the advisory committee and I strongly recommend that you continue to use them as a resource as the plan evolves. Additional meetings may be necessary and I feel that the group is committed to and would be in support of continued contributions.

- I am very pleased with the opportunity that has been provided anyone interested in this process to offer input. I am also very pleased with the cooperation between agencies. The meetings have been very organized and well presented. Staff has been very receptive to comments from the committee. Well done! Pilot study (ies) are important components and need to be incorporated as soon as possible.
- Not enough notice for first meeting. It seemed PWS staff had preconceived notions about potential contaminants, and nothing said would change their minds. Get rid of facilitators. They may know how to facilitate, but not how to respond to concerns expressed by group, presenter has to do that, so he/she might as well run discussion. Did not feel written and verbal comments/concerns were seriously considered or put into plan. Overall, a big waste of time for agency personnel, industry representatives, and private citizens.
- Process thoughtfully developed, professionally facilitated. Meeting rooms have been a problem. NC DENR needs to address this systematically especially since DENR is openly committed to public participation. For example, meeting rooms so designated, should as a matter of policy, be made available for public participation first.
- Time for the whole process was limited, but that helped keep us focused on the SWAP. Stretching out the process would not have added value. The pace was appropriate. Facilitators were valuable. Documents were clear. NOTE: Creating & enhancing digital data for GIS may take a lot of effort based on my experience with other projects. The effort would help maintain the credibility of the results.
- This has been a very open well-organized, and productive process.
- Good cross section of parties from state.
- An excellent method of including Aoutsiders@ into the state=s plan development process. Wish all state functions were handled this way! Kudos!

D - 3

LIST OF TECHNICAL AND CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

List of Technical and Citizens Advisory Committee Members

Sheila Askew Division of Waste Management

Eric Aufderhaar Groundwater Professionals of North

Carolina

Amy Axon Division of Water

Quality/Groundwater Section

Carl Bailey Division of Water

Quality/Groundwater Section

Layton Bedsole Jr. North Carolina State Ports Authority

John Ray Bigmeat Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians

Rusty Harris Bishop NC Division of Pollution & Envir.

Assist.

James Blackburn General Counsel, North Carolina

Association of County Commissioners

Jim Blose North Carolina Division of Water

Quality

Ann Borden S&ME Inc.

Jeffrey Brown North Carolina Center for Geological

Information and Analysis (CGIA)

Reid Campbell, P.E. North Carolina Utilities Commission

Public Staff

Anne Coan North Carolina Farm Bureau

Federation

Ronald Coble, P.G. Private Citizen

Mimi Cooper Health Director

Randolph County Health Department

Greg Cope, Ph.D. Department of Toxicology

Jeff Coutu North Carolina Div. Of Water Quality

Vernon Cox Division of Soil & Water Conservation

Patrick Davis Triangle J Council of Government

Gary H. Davis Commanding General AC/S EMD

Camp Lejeune

Marion Dodd President

League of Women Voters of NC

Jody Eimers U.S. Geological Survey

George Everett Executive Director

(Laura Hartsell) MCIC

Linda Faulkner-Vaughn McKim & Creed

Mike Floyd North Carolina Groundwater

Association

Marianne Frederick Chief Planner - Dept of Commerce

Community Assistance

Lewis Gaskin North Carolina Christmas Tree

Growers

Tom Glenn City of Durham, NC

Jeri Gray Water Resources Research Institute of

North Carolina State University

Angie Grooms Manager, Environmental Water

Protection

Duke Power Company

Moreland Gueth Division of Forest Resource

Leslie Hall, Jr., P.E. McKim & Creed

Roger Hansard USDA NRCS

Jerry Hardesty North Carolina Pork Council

Sid Harrell Division of Environmental

Health/PWS

Ed Holland Orange Water & Sewer Authority

Tom Irving North Carolina DOC/DCA

Peg Jones President

North Carolina Watershed Coalition,

Inc.

Bill Lyke Skelly & Loy

Dr. Rich McLaughlin Department of Soil Science

Caroline Medlin Land Resources

Buddy Melton Asheville, NC Regional Office/PWS

David Meredith North Carolina State Grange

Marti Morgan North Carolina Haz. Waste

Arthur Mouberry Chief, Groundwater Section

Division of Water Quality

Bill Noyes North Carolina DENR/LQS

Lynne Palmer RCRA Manager

Seymour Johnson AFB

George Pettus Goldsboro Hog Farms

Stephen Phillips PCS Phosphate Company, Inc.

Jeanne Robbins U.S. Geological Survey

Harold Saylor Asheville, NC Regional Office/PWS

Allen Scarborough, Ph.D. Environmental Affairs

Rhone-Poulenc Ag. Company

Jane Sharp-MacRae North Carolina Conservation Council

Al Slagle Asheville, NC Regional Office/PWS

Ruth Swanek North Carolina DWQ

Andrea Spangler Piedmont Triad Regional Water

Authority

Dr. Woodhall Stopford Duke University Medical Center

Jill Strickler Heater Utilities

Dr. Wayne Thomann Director

Occupational & Environmental Safety

Duke University Medical Center

Paula Thomas Manager of Environmental Policy

North Carolina League of

Municipalities

Willem van Eck, Ph.D., CPSS North Carolina Academic Associates,

Inc.

Henry Wade Pesticide Section/Department of

Agriculture & Conservation Services

Richard Whisnant Assistant Director

Institute of Government

David Williams Division of Pollution Prevention and

Environmental Assistance

Steve Zoufaly Division of Water Quality